

RICHARD M. WILLIAMS (SBN 68032)
 ROPERS, MAJESKI, KOHN & BENTLEY
 80 North First Street
 San Jose, CA 95113
 Telephone: (408) 287-6262
 Facsimile: (408) 918-4501

E-FILED 4/17/07

Attorneys for Defendants
 KELLY M. LAUGHRIN, an individual;
 CAMPBELL, Warburton, Fitzsimmons,
 Smith, Mendell & Pastore, a Professional
 Corporation, a California corporation

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NEW AMSTERDAM PROJECT
 MANAGEMENT HUMANITARIAN
 FOUNDATION, a Dutch non-profit
 corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

KELLY M. LAUGHRIN, an individual;
 CAMPBELL, Warburton,
 Fitzsimmons, Smith, Mendell &
 Pastore, A PROFESSIONAL
 CORPORATION, a California corporation;
 and DOES 1 through 25,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C07 00935 HRL

**DECLARATION OF RICHARD M.
 WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF
 STIPULATED REQUEST FOR ORDER
 CHANGING TIME**

I, RICHARD M. WILLIAMS, declare:

1. I am counsel for all named defendants in the above-referenced action. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration.
2. I was retained to represent said defendants on or about Friday, April 13, 2007.
3. The date for a responsive pleading to be filed on behalf of my clients is Tuesday, April 17, 2007.
4. There have been no previous time modifications or extensions in this case.
5. I have requested, and counsel representing plaintiff has agreed to, a two week

1 extension for the filing of a responsive pleading on behalf of my clients to and including Tuesday,
2 May 1, 2007.

3 6. To my knowledge, no date, event or deadline already fixed by Court Order would
4 be effected by this extension, nor would any date, event or deadline provided for in the Local
5 Rules be extended.

6 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
7 foregoing is true to the best of my information and belief and that this declaration is executed on
8 April 17, 2007, at San Jose, California.

9 
10 RICHARD M. WILLIAMS

11
12 **ORDER**

13 The Court having reviewed the Declaration of counsel, and deeming it to be an unopposed
14 motion under Civil L. R. 6-3,
15 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the requested extension be granted and that the time for
16 defendants to respond to the Complaint is extended to May 1, 2007.

17 Dated: 4/17/07

18 
19 HOWARD R. LLOYD
20 MAGISTRATE JUDGE OF THE UNITED
21 STATES DISTRICT COURT
22
23
24
25
26
27
28